

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1371 of 2022

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.25794 of 2019

-
-
1. Ramesh Mehta Son of Kirtu Mehta, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 2. Guneshwar Paswan, son of Fago Paswan, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 3. Bhagwat Yadav, son of Jagdish Yadav, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 4. Mahendra Yadav, son of Balo Yadav, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 5. Moti Yadav, son of Luchae Yadav, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 6. Shobhakant Yadav, son of Ramdev Yadav, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 7. Satya Narayan Paswan, son of Late Shyam Lal Paswan, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 8. Binod Mehta, son of Satya Narayan Mehta, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Purnea.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam (Aag 12)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

Date : 28-02-2024

None appear for the petitioners.

2. The present M.J.C. is filed for modification of
the order dated 09.03.2022 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 25794 of



2019 is nothing but reviewing the order, therefore, M.J.C. for modification of the order is not permissible. Modification of any order is permissible only if there are any typographical error or clerical error. On the other hand, relief sought in the present petition would lead to modifying the original order itself. In such circumstances, petitioner has a remedy of filing Review petition or in the alternative to approach the Hon’ble Supreme Court.

3. Accordingly, the present M.J.C. for modification stands dismissed, reserving liberty to the petitioner to file Civil Review or any other remedy, which is available to him.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

manish/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A
Uploading Date	29.02.2024
Transmission Date	N.A

