

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1365 of 2022

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1833 of 2020

-
-
1. Ganga Prasad Mehta son of Baijnath Mehta @ Baidh Nath Mehta, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 2. Vikash Mehta, Son of Surya Narayan Mehta, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 3. Yamuna Mehta, Son of Late Turay Mehta, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 4. Rajendra Mehta, Son of Jagdev Mehta, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 5. Dukho Mehta, son of Pantlal Mehta, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 6. Arjun Mehta, Son of Jagdev Mehta, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 7. Kali Mehta, Son of Bhola Mehta, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 8. Ramdev Mehta, son of Gurucharan Mehta, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 9. Shanbhu Mehta, Son of Jhapatu Mehta, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 10. Subodh Thakur, son of Shiv Narayan Thakur, resident of Village-Goasi, Police Station-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.
 11. Ashok Kumar Mehta, son of Late Basudeo Mehta @ Vasudev Mehta, resident of Village-Dumariya, P.S. K. Nagar, District-Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Purnea.
3. The Land Acquisition Officer, Purnea.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bipin Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Khurshid Alam (Aag 12)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA



ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

3 02-01-2024 The present MJC for modification of the order dated 09.01.2022 passed in CWJC No. 1833 of 2020 insofar as in the last paragraph of page No. 5 / 6 is not warranted in the form of MJC – modification. At the best petitioner should have filed review petition.

2. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed reserving liberty to file review petition in accordance with law.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

saaurabhkr/-

U			
---	--	--	--

