

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47993 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-186 Year-2024 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

Ravi Mahto @ Ravi Kumar S/O Dhiraj Kumar R/O Mohalla- Barki
Kanpurwa, P.S- Sasaram (T), Distt.- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shashi Kant, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anish Chandra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 07-08-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sasaram (M) P.S. Case No. 186 of 2024 for the offence under sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 504, 506 of the I.P.C. and under Section 27 of the Arms Act lodged on 17.04.2024 by the informant, Dhummi Beg.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that while he was sleeping in his garage which is also a car washing centre, the accused persons came on five motorcycles and damaged the car parked therein and the garage and also opened fire, accordingly the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has been implicated in this case only due to animosity and the paragraph no.3 which reflects criminal antecedent, separate F.I.R. was lodged because the allegation of using country made



pistol.

5. Learned APP on the other hand opposes the prayer for bail submitting that from bare perusal of the F.I.R would show how the petitioner behaved, he along with other accused persons came in the garage of the informant and started damaging the cars present there. Further, allegation is of opening fire and as such he does not deserve anticipatory bail.

6. Having gone through the facts of the case as also the material available on record, this Court finds force in the submissions of learned APP, these kind of incident affects entire society of the area and also show how far the accused person can go by taking law in their own hand.

7. In that background, this Court is not inclined to extend the relief of anticipatory bail, which is accordingly rejected.

8. If however, the petitioner surrenders within four weeks from today and prefers bail, the same be considered and disposed of preferably on the same day.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

