

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11052 of 2022

=====

Sardar Ajit Singh S/o Sardar Munna Singh, Resident of Village - Pathan Toli,
P.S. - Sasaram, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Rohtash.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtash at Sasaram.
4. The President, Sardar Suchit Singh, Gurudwara Chacha Fagunal Sahibjee at Sasaram.
5. The Chairman, Bihar Religious Trust, Vidyapati Marg, Patna.
6. Sardar Charanjit Singh, Cashier, Gurudawara Chacha Fagumal Sahibjee at Sasaram.
7. Sarvajeet Singh Khalsa Jathedar, Guradawra Chacha Fagumal Sahibjee at Sasaram.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Siyaram Sahi, Advocate
		Mr. Vikalp, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Ms. Anu Priyadarshi, AC to GA-7
For the Board	:	Mr. Shekhar Singh, Advocate
For Resp. Nos. 4, 6 & 7	:	Mr. Devendra Kr. Sinha, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Vipin Bihari, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

8 20-08-2024 Heard Mr. Siyaram Sahi, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Devendra Kumar Sinha, learned Senior Advocate representing respondent nos. 4, 6 and 7, Mr. Shekhar Singh representing respondent no. 5 as also Ms. Anu Priyadarshi, learned AC to GA-7.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief/s:-

“(i) To issue an appropriate



writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions to the nalisi of mandamus for better management of Gurudwara Chacha Fagumal Sahibjee at Sasaram which is illegally in the hands of some selection office bearer who mismanagement the fund and also direction to remove no member of Prabhandak Committee became no any development work done by the committee and the financial mismanagement is going on.”

3. Though, Mr. Sahi pointed out the irregularities that is/are being done by the respondents which has resulted into a mess so far as the such prestigious ‘Gurudwara’ is concerned, he accepts that in view of the judgments that have come and presented by learned Senior counsel, Mr. Devendra Kumar Sinha of the **Sikh Collective through its convener Jagmohan Singh vs The State of Bihar and Ors.** decided by a Division Bench of this Court in **CWJC No. 800 of 2024** which has been stamped by the Hon’ble Apex Court in **SLA (C) No. 12405 of 2024 (Sikh Collective vs The State of Bihar & Ors.)**, the options are limited.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition to take recourse to alternate remedy available under the law.

5. Appreciating the stand taken by the petitioner in the presence of learned Senior counsel, the State and the Bihar State Religious Trust Board, the writ petition stands disposed of granting said liberty.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

