

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1332 of 2021

Devendra Sah Son of Jayveer Sah, Resident of Village- Nuniya Tole, Manik Chaulk, P.S.- Runisaidpur, Distt- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Bihar Land and Revenue Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Distt. Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
3. The Distt. Equisition Officer, Sitamarhi.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sitamarhi Sadar.
5. The Block Development Officer, Runisaidpur.
6. The C.O., Runisaidpur.
7. The Assistant Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Distt.- Sitamarhi
8. The Dist. panchayat Raj Officer Sitamarhi.
9. The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat Raj Manik Chaulk, Block, Runisaidpur,
10. The Block Panchayat Raj Officer Bihar
11. The Ward Member-9, Bharoshi Pandit Son of Sogarath Pandit, Resident of Village- Nuniya Tole- Manik Chaulk, P.S.-Runisaidpur, Distt.-Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Mukul Prasad, AC to GP-18

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

8 09-09-2024 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Mukul Prasad, the learned AC to GP-18 appearing on behalf of the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs:

The petitioner seeks indulgence of this Hon'ble Court for issuance of writ / writs, order / orders, direction / directions and commanding the respondent authorities to direct the respondent no.



2 (The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi) for compliance of the order dated 30.11.2019 passed by the District Magistrate, Sitamarhi-cum-Second Appellate Authorities in Complaint No. 50411/8026/111/800/845/2A by reasoned and speaking order passed within time frame and any other order / orders your Lordships may deem fit and proper.

3. A counter-affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent no. 2, i.e., District Magistrate (Sitamarhi), in which it is clearly stated that the land in question (P.C.C. Road) has been removed from the private land of the petitioner and petitioner has acknowledged the same, which is annexed as Annexure-R/B series of the supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent no.

2.

4. In view of the aforesaid, it appears that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and the present writ petition has become infructuous and learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition.

5. Permission, as prayed for, is accorded.

6. Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U			
---	--	--	--

