

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44276 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-707 Year-2023 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

Vimal Kumar @ Vinay Kumar Son of Bimlendu Kumar R/O Vill.- Bandea,
P.S.- Makhdumpur, Dist.- Jehanabad (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amrendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shantanu Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

3 02-08-2024 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf
of the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest in
connection with Makhdumpur P.S. Case No.707 of 2023
registered for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 379, 384,
504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per FIR, the allegation against this
petitioner as well as other co-accused persons that they stopped
the car of the informant demanded balance of Rs.5000/- and
also assaulted and abused to the informant. It is further alleged
that the accused persons snatched key of car and they taken
away car anywhere and demanded Rs.50,000/- on the point of
pistol, where, Rs.6500 was handed over to the accused persons



and a golden chain of Rs.41,000/- snatched by one of the accused persons and further taken cellphone and ATM where they withdraw Rs.15000/- and transferred Rs.30,000/- to different accused persons.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case, where, petitioner is not named in the FIR. It is submitted that the allegation as regard to assault and extortion is general and omnibus.

5. Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State, opposes the prayer of bail of the petitioner and submitted that the investigation could be affected, if the petitioner would be granted privilege of anticipatory bail. It is also submitted that interrogation of the petitioner in police custody is also required.

6. In view of the submissions, as made above, as it appears from FIR that several electronic transactions were alleged to be done by the accused persons from the informant's ATM card as well as from the cellphone of the informant's driver and as this petitioner is one of them who received money transferred from the cellphone of the informant's driver, where, petitioner is also involved in one other case and investigation is still going on, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the



petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer of bail of the
petitioner is rejected herewith.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

S.Katyayan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

