

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44511 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2023 Thana- ROSERA District- Samastipur

Ravindra Kumar son of Ramprit singh Village- Belsandi Tara W.No-7, Ps-
Bibhutipur Dist- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anant Kumar Mishra, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renu Kumari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 06-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Rosera P.S. Case No. 234 of 2023 dated
01.04.2023 for the offence/s punishable u/ss 272, 273, 420, 467,
468, 471 and 120B of the IPC and 30(a)(b), 32, 41 and 62 of the
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 3568.12 litres of
illicit foreign liquor was recovered from three different vehicles
and a motorcycle was also seized.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
this case. The petitioner is the owner of the seized motorcycle



but the same was not recovered at the time of alleged occurrence. The petitioner is not named in the FIR. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The similarly situated co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 12.01.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 81377 of 2023. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Samastipur in connection with Rosera P.S. Case No. 234 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

