

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40741 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1 Year-2018 Thana- SC/ST District- Rohtas

1. BANSHIDHAR SINGH @ BANSHI DHAR SINGH Son of Rama Kant Singh @ Ramakant Singh @ Rama Kant Rai @ Ramakant Rai Resident of Village - Champ (Chanp), P.S.- Akhodhigola, District - Rohtas.
2. Vishnu Shnaker Singh @ Vishnu Shankar Singh @ Bishnu Shankar Singh Son of Sri Banshidhar Singh @ Banshi Dhar Singh Resident of Village - Champ (Chanp), P.S.- Akhodhigola, District - Rohtas.
3. Uma Shanker Singh @ Uma Shankar Singh @ Aku Singh Son of Murlidhar Singh @ Murli Dhar Singh Resident of Village - Champ (Chanp), P.S.- Akhodhigola, District - Rohtas.
4. Shakti Shanker Singh @ Shakti Shankar Singh @ Hanuman Singh Son of Murlidhar Singh @ Murli Dhar Singh Resident of Village - Champ (Chanp), P.S.- Akhodhigola, District - Rohtas.
5. Bhola Shanker Singh @ Bhola Shankar Singh @ Bhola Singh Son of Sri Banshidhar Singh @ Banshi Dhar Singh Resident of Village - Champ (Chanp), P.S.- Akhodhigola, District - Rohtas.
6. Murlidhar Singh @ Murli Dhar Singh Son of Rama Kant Singh @ Ramakant Singh @ Rama Kant Rai @ Ramakant Rai Resident of Village - Champ (Chanp), P.S.- Akhodhigola, District - Rohtas.
7. Shubham Singh Son of Pintu Singh Resident of Village - Champ (Chanp), P.S.- Akhodhigola, District - Rohtas.
8. Labham Singh Son of Pintu Singh Resident of Village - Champ (Chanp), P.S.- Akhodhigola, District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Manish Kumar No 13, Advocate Mr. Rohit Kumar Advocate Mrs. Priti Kumari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mrs. Usha Kumari No. 1, Spl. P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 30-01-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Spl.P.P. for the State.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, after some arguments, seeks permission to withdraw this application with



liberty to move before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Accordingly, this application is dismissed as withdrawn.

5. The office is directed to return all the certified copies annexed with the present quashing application to the learned counsel for the petitioners except the Vakalatnama.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

