

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42155 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-35 Year-2024 Thana- PURNAHYA District- Sheohar

1. Sindhu Devi @ Sendhu Devi @ Sendu Devi Wife of Vinod Ram R/O Vill.- Parsauni Gop, P.S.- Purnahiya, Dist.- Sheohar
2. Lalita Devi @ Jai Lalita Devi Wife of Krishnandan Ram R/O Vill.- Parsauni Gop, P.S.- Purnahiya, Dist.- Sheohar
3. Sunita Devi Wife of Meghu Ram R/O Vill.- Parsauni Gop, P.S.- Purnahiya, Dist.- Sheohar
4. Pramila Devi Wife of Gena Ram R/O Vill.- Parsauni Gop, P.S.- Purnahiya, Dist.- Sheohar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pronoti Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 20-06-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Purnahiya P.S. case No. 35 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 324, 307, 504, 506, 447 of the Indian Penal Code and later on, Section 302 was added.

3. Prosecution story, in short, is that total seventeen accused persons including these petitioners, formed unlawful assembly and assaulted the informant and other family members. It is further alleged that co-accused Baban Ram



assaulted on the head of the brother of the informant due to which he sustained injuries and, later on, died during the course of treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are females and have falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against these petitioners. No specific overt act is alleged against these petitioners. The specific accusation of assaulting the deceased is against Baban Ram. Learned counsel further submitted that scuffle took place between the parties by their male counterparts and these petitioners who are ladies have only been made accused in this case only to put pressure on their families. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners are in custody since 04.04.2024 and no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, no specific allegation of assaulting the deceased, petitioners being ladies having clean antecedent as well as the period of custody undergone by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.



7. Let the petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Purnahiya P.S. case No. 35 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

