

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10296 of 2023

=====

Jaymani Devi D/o Late Ramswarup Singh R/o Village- Keyal, P.S.- Karapi,
District- Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reform, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Arwal, District- Arwal.
3. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms Officer, Arwal, District- Arwal.
4. The Circle Officer, Karapi, Block- Karapi, District- Arwal.
5. Sheomangal Prasad, Revenue Clerk cum Incharge Circle Inspector, Panchayat- Keyal, Block- Karapi, District- Arwal.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arinjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, G.P.-18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-04-2024 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and the

State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following reliefs:-

*(i) for issuance of a writ in the nature of
Certiorary or any other appropriate writ/order/
direction to quash/Set-aside the order of mutation
case no 1396/2022-2023 dated-08.11.2022 passed
by the Circle officer, Karapi district Arwal
(Respondent No.-4) whereby and where-under it
has been ordered that the Mutation case is
accepted and land mutate to wrong person those
who have not title right of the land, prior to this*



order same circle officer dated 17.08.2022 rejected the mutation case no. 1284/2021-2022 on the ground of lack of evidence;

(ii) further, for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any appropriate writ/order/direction to the D.C.L.R Arwal (respondent No.-3) to decide the mutation Appeal No. 41/2022 expeditiously which is pending.

3. A perusal of the prayer would show that the petitioner wants setting aside of the mutation order dated 08.11.2022 in Mutation Case No. 1396 of 2022-23.

4. Further perusal of the annexure would show that Arvind Kumar (vendee) as also Ramanuj Sharma, Santosh Kumar, Sanjay Kumar and Ramvinay Singh who are the vendors and cousin brothers of the petitioner have not been made party-respondent.

5. In that background, when the necessary parties have been deliberately left out, the writ petition is fit to be dismissed for non-joinder of the necessary parties.

6. Accordingly, the same stands dismissed.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Neha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

