

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42225 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-192 Year-2024 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

Vikram Kumar S/o Bhola Kumar R/o Village-Paschim Devi Mandir, Unta,
ward no.-06,Jahanabad, P.S.-Jahanabad, District-Jahanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Akhilesh Singh S/o Late Sidheswar Singh R/o Village- Adulchak Daulatpur,
P.S.-Jahanabad, District-Jahanabad, State-Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kuldeep Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3 25-06-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Jehanabad P.S. Case No. 192 of 2024 dated 06.03.2024 registered for the offence/s punishable u/ss 406, 420, 467, 506 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, an agreement was signed between the informant and the co-accused whereby a double storey house was agreed to be sold by the co-accused to the informant on a consideration money of Rs. 1.60 crore and allegedly Rs. 50 lakhs through cheque and Rs. 13 lakhs in cash



were paid as earnest money in presence of the petitioner. The balance amount would be paid as per term. The co-accused denied to execute the sale-deed whereas the informant was ready in making the payment. The complaint was made on the basis that the petitioner and the co-accused cheated the said money of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioner neither received any amount nor he executed any Bi-beyana in favour of the informant. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no knowledge about the execution of any Bi-beyana by his father (co-accused) nor he has signed on it. It is a case of civil dispute. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 29.04.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court



concerned, Jehanabad in connection with Jehanabad P.S. Case
No. 192 of 2024, with the condition/s:-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically
present before the learned Court below on each and every date,
failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable
cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

