

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42161 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1529 Year-2021 Thana- VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Vaishali

=====

Nagmani Singh Son of Late Rajdeo Singh R/O Vill.- Benipur, P.S.- Vaishali,
Dist.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Shakuntala Devi Wife of Ram Briksh Prasad Singh R/O Vill.- Benipur, P.S.-
Vaishali, Dist.- Vaishali

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Neeraj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anish Chandra

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 25-07-2024 1. Heard learned Senior counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 468,
120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits
that petitioner has antecedent of one case and the complainant
alleges that she got 32 decimals of land through sale deed dated
28.10.1980, 29.08,1982 and 06.01.1986 from Hanuman Pandey
and came into peaceful possession, further, on 02.07.2015
under conspiracy, the accused persons executed a forged sale
deed in favour of the petitioner and accused Chandan Kumar



and Lalan Prasad Singh became identifier and witnesses on the sale deed. Thereafter, a title suit in the court of learned Sub-Judge-I, Hajipur was filed for cancelling the sale deed and thereafter the sale deed came to be cancelled by a judgment dated 22.03.2021. Thereafter, all the accused persons came at the door of the complainant and assaulted and abused her. The learned Senior counsel submits that from bare perusal of the allegation as alleged in the complaint, it would manifest that petitioner was cheated by the seller of the land as the petitioner was not aware that the land belonged to the complainant. It is further submitted that even the sale deed got cancelled and thereafter complainant instituted the instant complaint alleging that the accused persons abused and assaulted her. It is next submitted that deliberately a complaint has been filed so that the case is not investigated.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Complaint Case No.1529/2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

