

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44868 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-315 Year-2023 Thana- SARAI RANJAN District- Samastipur

Niraj Kumar @ Niraj Sahni S/o Jaleshwar Sahni R/o Village-Rajpur Bujurg
Tara, P.S.- Sarairanjan, district-Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Surya Narayan Roy, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Vinod Shanker Modi, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 15-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 315 of 2023 dated 10.11.2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 342, 323, 324, 325, 307, 379, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and other accused persons are alleged to have assaulted the informant's son. On protest by the informant, they also started abusing and assaulting him. The co-accused Saroj Kumar assaulted on the head of the informant's son with lathi-danda causing injury. The co-accused Amarjeet Sahni and Sanjeet Sahni also assaulted his



son with illegal arms and pressed his neck due to which he became senseless and fell down on the ground. The other accused persons also assaulted his son with fist and slaps. It is further alleged that the accused persons snatched the gold chain of Rs. 1,50,000/-, cash of Rs. 12,00/-, mobile phone and motorcycle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. The present case is counter blast of Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 312/2023 which was lodged by brother of the petitioner against son of the informant for abduction of his sister. There is a case and counter case between both the parties. The petitioner has a clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 13.03.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court
concerned, Samastipur in connection with Sarairanjan P.S.
Case No. 315 of 2023.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

