

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41615 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-170 Year-2024 Thana- JAMUI District- Jamui

=====

PRASENJIT SINGH @ PRASANJIT SINGH @ PRASEN JEET KUMAR
SON OF SHAMBHU SHARAN SINGH RESIDENT OF VILL-
MALAYPUR, P.S.- MALAYPUR, DISTRICT- JAMUI.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 07-08-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 448 , 341 , 323 , 353 , 308 , 504, 506 and 34 of IPC .

3. The prosecution case, as stated in the F.I.R., is that the informant, who is posted in the office of Bihar State Road Transport Corporation as incharge control room, has lodged this case, alleging therein that on 20.3.2024, at about 12.30 p.m., when he was in his office, the petitioner, *Prasanjit Singh*, who is owner of Sisodiya Bus Services, along with some other co-accused persons, suddenly entered into his office and abused and assaulted the informant. He further demanded the route



permit of the buses of BSRTC, whereupon the informant replied that it is only the DTO who can ask for the permit and having heard this, the accused persons, including the petitioner, assaulted the informant by means of rods, fists, and slaps due to which informant sustained injury over his eyes, nose . It is further alleged that accused persons, while leaving the P.O., have threatened the informant with dire consequences.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case on account of route dispute for plying Transport vehicle . As a matter of fact, informant used to ply vehicle at the same time slot for which petitioner has also route permit, duly issued by competent authority i.e. R. T. O, Munger and due to that a verbal altercation took place between the parties and taking undue advantage of the same , this false and concocted case has been lodged against this petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he along with other co-accused persons entered the office of the informant and brutally assaulted him. Petitioner has also got four criminal antecedent.

6. Considering the nature of accusation , criminal



antecedents and gravity of offence , prayer for pre-arrest bail of
the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

