

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42011 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-136 Year-2023 Thana- BAUNSI District- Banka

Ram Kumar Das @ Ramu Das @ Ram Pukar Das @ Ramu Son Of Dilip
Kumar Das R/O- Lathdikuwari, P.S.- Poraiyahat, Distt.- Godda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Shaheen Begum

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 26-07-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of two cases and allegation is of recovery of 691.2 litres of liquor from a pick-up van.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not owner of the seized vehicle and he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Kare Kumar in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value.



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bounsi P.S. Case No. 136 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that the petitioner has antecedent of more than two cases, in that event the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

