

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-561 Year-2024 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Damodar Prasad Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-07-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 336.96 litres of liquor from a litchi orchard and 216 litres of liquor from an auto and house of one Vishwananth Ram.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was



recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the auto or the orchard and has no concern with Vishwanath Ram and he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Vishwananth Ram in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value, when admittedly petitioner is a person with clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Muzaffarpur Excise P.S. Case No. 561 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that the petitioner has antecedent of even one case in that



event the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect
to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

