

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Letters Patent Appeal No.661 of 2019**

**In**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1274 of 2019**

=====

Santosh Kumar son of Rampravesh Thakur Resident of Panchsheel Nagar,  
P.O. and P.S. Barh, Dist.-Patna

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd. through its Chairman cum Managing Director, SAUDMANI, Plot No. 2, Sector-29, Gurgaon-122001 Haryana
2. The Director (Personnel) SAUDMANI, Plot No. 2, Sector-29, Gurgaon-122001 Haryana
3. The General Manager (HR) SAUDMANI, Plot No. 2, Sector-29, Gurgaon-122001 Haryana
4. The Deputy General Manager (HR) Odisha Project, Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd. Plot No. 4, Unit 41, Niladri, Bihar, Chandrashekharpur (Bhubaneswar)-751021
5. The Chief Manager (HR) Odisha Project, Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd. Plot No. 4, Unit 41, Niladri, Bihar, Chandrashekharpur (Bhubaneswar)-751021
6. Executive Director Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd. Plot No. 4, Unit 41, Niladri, Bihar, Chandrashekharpur (Bhubaneswar)-751021

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                     |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| For the Appellant/s  | : | Mr. Nivedita Nirvikar, Sr. Advocate |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. J.P. Singh, Advocate            |
|                      |   | Mr. Kunal Tiwari, Advocate          |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI**

**and**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND  
MALVIYA**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)**

**Date : 30-01-2024**



The present LPA is arising out of CWJC No. 1274 of 2019 decided on 22.01.2019 in which the learned Single Judge has passed the following order:

“Heard Mr. Lalan Kumar, the learned Advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Janardan Prasad Singh, the learned Senior Advocate for the respondent/the Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd.

2. After some arguments, Mr. Lalan Kumar, the learned Advocate for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this writ petition in order to enable the petitioner to pursue his remedies by way of filing representation before the concerned authorities.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.”

2. Having regard to the disposal of CWJC No. 1274 of 2019 in the above terms, question of entertaining the present LPA is impermissible. LPA bench cannot examine the decision of the learned Single Judge in respect of CWJC dismissed as withdrawn on behalf of learned Advocate for the appellant.

3. Accordingly, present LPA stands disposed of as not maintainable.

4. Disposal of the present LPA would not be a hurdle for the appellant to invoke remedy of filing civil review.



5. For the purpose of entertaining civil review, the appellant may apprise the learned Single Judge with reference to Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963 for the purpose of condonation of delay.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

( Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

GAURAV S./-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | NA         |
| Uploading Date    | 01.02.2024 |
| Transmission Date | NA         |

