

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15127 of 2021

=====

Bihar Rajya Prarambhik Shikshak Sangh Through its President Pradeep Kumar @ Pradeep Kumar Pappu (M) aged about 44 years, S/o Satya Narayan Yadav, R/o Village Jirwa, P.S.- Shankarpur, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through its Secretary Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Director (Secondary Education) Education Department, State of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director (Primary Education) Education Department, State of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Midday Meet Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The All District Education Officer Under Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
6. The All District Programme Officer (Establishment) Under Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. The All District Programme Officer Midday meet under Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
8. The All Block Education Officer Under Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Shashi Bhushan Kumar, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Kameshwar Kumar (GP 17)
For the MDM	:	Mr.Girijish Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 24-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

2. The present writ application has been filed for the following relief/s:-

“(i) To direct the respondent authority to not engage the teacher in non teaching work like



midday meal programme, Janganana, Election duty etc. as per direction of Hon'ble High Court order.

(ii) To further quash the order/direction/letter issued by the respondent by which direct to sale the mid day meal bags (Rs.10/each bags) to the Block Resource persons/Headmaster of the School.

(iii) To further direct to the respondent, no coercive action will be taken against the teacher when they denied to non teaching work and also quash the all punitive action of state authority by which non compliance of non teaching work by the teacher taken punitive action.”

3. On bare perusal of the prayers made in the writ petition, this Court is of the view that the writ petition is not maintainable before this Court.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as non-maintainable.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

