

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41696 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-627 Year-2022 Thana- ARWAL District- Jehanabad

=====

Rinku @ Rinku Mian Son of Janu Mian Resident of Village- Shekh Bigha,
P.S.- Barun, Dist.- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Prasad Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 02-08-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Arwal P.S.
Case No. 627 of 2022, registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 279, 379, 414 of the Indian Penal Code and
Sections 3, 4, 4(b) of Bihar Prevention and Improvement of
Animal Act, 1955 and Section 11 of Prevention of Cruelty to
Animal Act, 1960.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant got secret
information that a container bearing registration no.
BR01GL1603, loaded with cattle, was being taken towards
Aurangabad. On the basis of the secret information, she along



with other social workers chased the said vehicle and informed the police regarding the same as well. The driver of the said container stopped the vehicle and fled away. In course of checking, 32 bulls and buffaloes were found to be loaded on vehicle in cruel manner and out of the total, seven bulls had died due to suffocation.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. No such occurrence as alleged has ever taken place. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. He has been falsely implicated in the present case due to ulterior motive. All the allegation levelled against the petitioner is totally false and based on concocted facts. He has no concern either with the recovered animals or the seized vehicle or business of smuggling of the animals. No any complaint has been given by any person before any authority regarding this matter. Petitioner has one criminal antecedent, as mentioned in para 3 of the bail application.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of the offence, I am not inclined to



enlarge the petitioner on bail. The prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

7. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

