

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40285 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-570 Year-2023 Thana- GOPALGANJ COMPLAINT CASE
District- Gopalganj

=====

Anup Kumar Singh Son of Harendra Singh R/O Vill.- Jadopur Shukla, P.S.-
Jadopur, Dist.- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Nikki Kumari Wife of Anup Kumar Singh Daughter of Arun Kumar Singh
R/O Vill.- Jadopur Shukla, P.S.- Jadapur, Dist.- Gopalganj, Presently
Residing at Village- Amwa Mauja, P.S.- Gopalganj, Dist.- Gopalganj

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Vyas Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Pradeep Narain Kumar, APP
For Opposite Party No.2	:	N o n e

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 23-09-2024 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Despite valid service of notice, nobody appears on
behalf of the Opposite Party No. 2.

3. The petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 498A, 406, 504 and
34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry
Prohibition Act.

4. Allegation against the petitioner is of matrimonial
cruelty and of demand of dowry.

5. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that petitioner



happens to be husband of the complainant and present case has been lodged due to petty family dispute. There is general and omnibus allegation of commission of assault against him. As a matter of fact, the petitioner at no point of time demanded any dowry or committed torture. It is further submitted that petitioner is ready to keep the complainant, as his wife, with full honour and dignity. It is next submitted that the case is triable by the Magistrate. In this connection, petitioner has relied upon a judgment of this Court in the case of *Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar*, reported in **2006(3) PLJR 182**. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

8. Accordingly, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial



Magistrate, Gopalganj, in connection with Complaint Case No.
570 of 2023, subject to condition as laid down under Section
438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

