

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40477 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-910 Year-2018 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Dinesh @ Thannu Sonkar @ Thunnu Sonkar Son Of Late Ramesh Sonkar  
Resident Of Village - Gidhauri Behind Sai Temple, Mughal Sarai, P.S. -  
Mughal Sarai, District - Chandauli (UP)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      02-08-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for  
the offence punishable under Sections 414 of the Indian Penal  
Code.

3. On the basis of written application of the  
informant, Ravi Shankar Pandey, ASI of Sasaram (Model) P.S.,  
the prosecution case in brief is that on 09.06.2018 for  
verification of secret information of his superior, the informant  
along with police personnel at about 6:45 AM arrived near  
Electric Office, Fazalganj, Sasaram and saw two boys parked  
their motorcycle tried to snap photographs but on seeing the  
police they tried to flee away but they were apprehended and on



asking they disclosed their name as Ranjeet Kumar and Amarjeet Kumar and, on demand of documents regarding their motorcycles, they failed to produce the valid documents. Accordingly, in presence of two independent witnesses seizure list was prepared. It is alleged that accused persons including the petitioner are indulged in selling and purchasing of the theft motorcycles.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. He submits that no incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner is languishing in custody since 25.10.2023. The petitioner has nine criminal antecedents as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner has nine criminal antecedents.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, the Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer of regular bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected in



connection with Sasaram (Model) P.S. Case No. 910 of 2018.

7. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charges.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

