

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42336 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-943 Year-2019 Thana- VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE District-
Vaishali

=====

Sudhir Prasad Singh @ Sudhir Kumar Singh S/o- Satyendra Singh @
Satyendra Narayan Singh resident of Village- Gangoi P.S. Parsa District-
Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sanoj Kumar Singh, Son of Kameshwar Prasad Singh Prop. of Poultry
Feeds, Village- Bari Yusufpur Defense Colony W.No-28, R. NO-1, Ps-
Industrial Area Hajipur Dist- Vaishali

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mrs. Bela Singh, Advocate
For the Complainant	:	Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, Sr. Advocate
For the State	:	Mrs. Madhuri Lata, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-07-2024 Heard Mrs. Bela Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, learned Senior counsel

for the Complainant and Mrs. Madhuri Lata, learned APP for the

State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest
connection with Vaishali Complaint Case No. 943 of 2019,
dated 08.04.2019 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 420, 406 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 138 of
Negotiable Instrument Act..

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He



further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the Informant as well as learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and learned counsel for the Informant submits that in view of the fact that the process under Section 82 & 83 of the Cr. P.C. has been issued by the learned Court below and also relied upon a judgment passed in Special Leave Petition (Crl.) No. 7940 of 2023 (*Srikant Upadhyay & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar & Anr.*) which was passed on 14.03.2024 and hence, the present bail petition is not maintainable.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the application stands dismissed as not maintainable.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

