

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42333 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-402 Year-2022 Thana- CHHAURADANO District- East
Champaran

=====

Niraj Kumar Son of Bindeshwari Prashad R/O VILL.- DHEKHA
BISHUNPUR, P.S.-PIPRAKOTHI DIST.- EAST CHAMPARAN

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Y.C. Verma, Sr. Adv
Ms.Priyanka Singh, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 203-09-2024
1.

Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that he came to know from people that Jitendra Chandra Malik, a *Rajasv Karamchari*, has made alteration in Register-II in *Jamabandi* No. 298 relating to *Mauja-Khairva*, PS No. 182. It is further alleged that Jitendra Chandra Malik, being *Rajasv Karamchari*, is custodian of Register-II.



4. The learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is next submitted that petitioner is an IT Assistant posted at *Chhauradano*. It is also submitted that no doubt, it is *Rajasv Karamchari* who is custodian of Register-II, but then any interference in the *Jamabandi* is the sole prerogative of the Circle Officer. The learned senior counsel next submits that no inquiry was conducted before instituting the instant FIR, it is thus submitted that had an opportunity been given to the petitioner, perhaps he would have been able to explain his side of the case and would have proved his innocence and thus may not have been implicated in the instant case. It is also submitted that Jitendra Chandra Malik has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by an order dated 20-7-2023 in Cr. Misc No. 26182 of 2023.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned senior counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chhauradano P.S. Case No. 402 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

