

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39471 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-69 Year-2024 Thana- JOGAPATTI District- West Champaran

1. Prasanjeet Kumar @ Prashnjeet Kumar Son Of Sri Rabindra Das @ Ravi Kumar Resident Of Village - Bangali Colony, Shanichari, P.S. - Shanichari, District - West Champaran
2. Shambhu Das Son Of Sri Harikrishn Das @ Harekrishna Das Resident Of Village - Bangali Colony, Shanichari, P.S. - Shanichari, District - West Champaran
3. Babita Devi Wife Of Sri Sahmbhu Das Resident Of Village - Bangali Colony, Shanichari, P.S. - Shanichari, District - West Champaran
4. Ravindra Das @ Dhaniram Das @ Ravi Sarkar Son Of Sri Late Santosh Sarkar Resident Of Village - Bangali Colony, Shanichari, P.S. - Shanichari, District - West Champaran
5. Vrijesh Ray Son Of Sri Satan Das @ Satyanarayan Ray Resident Of Village - Bangali Colony, Shanichari, P.S. - Shanichari, District - West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sharad Kumar Verma, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Anant Kumar Mishra
		Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 10-07-2024 Heard Mr. Sharad Kumar Verma, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Dilip Kumar No. 1, learned A.P.P. for the State and Mr. Anant Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 376, 511, 504, 379, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.



3. As per the prosecution case, allegation against the petitioners is that they along with other co-accused persons assaulted the informant and tried to outrage her modesty.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. The allegation levelled against the petitioners is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. He submits that there is admitted land dispute between the parties. He further submits that no person was injured in the present occurrence. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that no person was injured in the present occurrence, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned lower Court where the case is pending/successor



Court in connection with Jogapatti (Shanichari) FIR No.69 of
2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438
(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

