

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1571 of 2021

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14993 of 2019

=====

Ram Naresh Ray, S/o Ram Autar Mahto, Resident of Village Parmanadpur,
P.O. Ladaura Pakari, District Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar.
2. Sanjeev Hans, the Principal Secretary, Department of Water Resources,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Chanchal Kumar, the Principal Secretary, Department of Personnel and
Administrative Reform, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. HP Srinivas, the Commissioner, Darbhanga.
5. Thiyagrajan SM, The Collector Darbhanga-cum Chairman District Level
Selection Committee, Darbhanga.
6. Arun Kumar Chodhary, the Engineer-in-Chief, Water Resources
Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. Timir Kanti Bhaduri, the Chief Engineer, Central Design Research and
Quality Control, Department of Water Resources, Office at Anisabad, Patna.
8. Umesh Prasad Singh, the Superintending Engineer, Quality Control,
Irrigation Creation Circle, Office at Anisabad, District Patna.
9. Arun Kumar Choudhary, the Executive Engineer, Quality Control, Irrigation
Creation Division, Darbhanga.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarendra Narayan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manish Kumar (Gp 4)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 12-07-2024 As directed by this Court *vide* order dated

08.08.2019, the Collector, Darbhanga-cum-Chairman,

District Level Selection Committee, Darbhanga has passed

an order rejecting the representation of the petitioner.

2. Mr. Amrendra Narayan, learned Advocate



submits that the rejection order is based on the same grounds on which the challenge was made initially in the writ petition. As such, this cannot be called compliance of the order passed by this Court.

3. Since the direction to the concerned respondent was to pass an order in accordance with law, which order has been passed, no contempt lies against the concerned respondent.

4. Should the petitioner so desire, he may challenge the aforesaid order on merits before the appropriate forum.

5. The contempt petition is thus disposed of accordingly.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

krishna/-

U			
---	--	--	--

