

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9570 of 2024

=====

Sujit Kumar Sinha S/o Umesh Kumar Sinha R/o Ward No-22, Paijawapar, Barh, P.S.-Barh, District-Patna At Present Residing At C/o Late R.p Mandilwar, Progressive Colony, Bhootnath Road, P.S.-Agamkuan, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Union of India through its Director Department of Health and Family Welfare, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare 3 Rd and 4th Floor Indian Red Cross Society Building, Sansad Marg, 1, Red Cross Road, Sansad Marg Area, New Delhi, Delhi- 110001.
2. The Director, National Testing Ahrncy First Floor, Nsic- Mdbp Building Okhla Industrial Estate, New Delhi, Delhi-110020
3. The Chairman, National Medical Commission Pocket-14, Sector-8, (2) Dwarka Phase-1 New Delhi-110077.
4. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary Department of Home Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Patna.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Patna.
7. Office In-Charge, Shastri Nagar Police Station, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vishal Saurabh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Dr. K. N. Singh, Additional Solicitor General
		Mr. Anjani Pd. Singh, CGC
		Mr. Anand Kumar Ojha, CGC
		Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan, CGC
		Mr. Devansh Shankar Singh, Advocate
		Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh, Advocate
		Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 28-06-2024 The writ petition has been filed as a public interest litigation to investigate a case registered in the Shastri Nagar, Police Station with respect to leakage of question paper in the



NEET Under Graduate Test held in the year 2024, to cancel the examination and to direct conduct of a fresh examination.

2. We apprised the learned counsel for the petitioner that the Supreme Court is seized of the matter and it is also pertinent that the prayer for cancelling the examination has been specifically raised before the Hon’ble Supreme Court, and there is also a prayer made for cancellation of the examination. We also do not see any reason to direct an investigation since already an investigating agency is looking into it. We find the public interest litigation to be more of a publicity induced litigation. We were not inclined to entertain the writ petition.

3. The learned counsel for the writ petitioner then sought for withdrawal of the writ petition. The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with caution to the writ petitioner not to file such misconceived applications before the High Court when already the Hon’ble Supreme Court is seized of it.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Harish Kumar, J)

sharun/-

U			
---	--	--	--

