

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39183 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-101 Year-2024 Thana- BAIRIYA District- West Champaran

Pappu Chaudhary S/O Chanrdrika Chaudhary R/O Village- Sareyaman, P.S-
Bairiya, Distt.- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-06-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 272, 273 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2018.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 45 litres of liquor from Sareyamon Suji ghat. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioner and is accessible to public at large and he came to be implicated at the instance of chowkidar with whom he is on



an inimical term. It appears that the chowkidar in order to save the real culprit falsely implicated the petitioner. It is further submitted that if chowkidar was aware of the involvement of the petitioner in the occurrence then why he did not inform the police prior to institution of the instant F.I.R., which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution, when petitioner admittedly is a person with clean antecedent.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bairiya P.S. Case No.101/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is



found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

