

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.522 of 2021

=====

Sudha D/o Sri Chandeshwari Roy, W/o Deepak Kumar Singh, R/o Village-
Mandar Deru, P.O. and P.S.-Dhoraiya, District-Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Director General of Police, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The S.P. -IV, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Inspector of Police -cum-the Investigating Officer (Banka Shikshak Niyojan), Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna namely Mr. Bipin Kumar.
5. The Additional Chief Secretary, Deptt. Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Director, Primary Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. The District Education Officer, Banka, District-Banka.
8. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Banka, Dist-Banka.
9. The Block Education Officer, Dhoraiya, District-Banka.
10. The Bihar School Examination Board, Patna through its Secretary.
11. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
12. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
13. The Examination Controller, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
14. The Assistant Secretary-cum-Nodal Officer, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
15. The Panchayat Teacher Employment Unit, Gram Panchayat Sainchak, Block- Dhoraiya, District-Banka, through its Member Secretary-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Sainchak, Dist.- Banka.
16. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Sainchak, Dhoraiya, District-Banka.
17. The Superintendent of Police, Banka.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Purushottam Kumar Jha, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Madhukar Mishra, AC to S.C.-16
For the BSEB	:	Mr. P.K. Shahi, Sr. Adv.
		Mr. Gyan Shankar, Adv.
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Archana Palkar Khopde, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 20-08-2024

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits



that some of the grievances of the petitioner have been redressed, but some of them have not been considered as yet.

3. Considering the facts aforementioned, this writ application is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a fresh and detailed representation before the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Banka (respondent no.8) along with the supporting documents for redressal of the grievances, as mentioned in the representation within three weeks from today and respondent no.8 is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass a reasoned and speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation of the petitioner after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner or his counsel.

4. It is made clear that District Programme Officer (Establishment), Banka (respondent no.8) shall be held responsible for non-compliance of this order.

5. With the aforesaid direction, this writ application is disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	22.08.2024
Transmission Date	NA

