

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6599 of 2018

1. Indu Bhushan Diwakar P D D No. 114931 Son of late Shashi Bhushan Resident of Village- Jadia Ward No. 06, P.O. and P.S. Jadia, Block- Triveniganj, District- Supaul, Pin -852214
2. Suman Kumar Rajak PDD No. 101830 Son of Bachchalal Rajak Resident of Village- Guria, Ward No. 03, P.O. Guria, Anchal- Triveniganj, District- Supaul, Pin -852139

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Cabinet Secretariat Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Secretary, Cabinet Secretariat Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Principal Secretary, Planning and Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
6. The Principal Secretary, Cooperative Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Director, Economics and Statistics, Planning and Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
8. The Deputy Director, Economics and Statistics, Planning and Development Department, Government of Bihar.
9. The District Statistical Officer, Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vinay Kriti Singh -GA2

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

2 04-03-2024 None appears on behalf of the petitioners, however,



learned counsel for the State is present.

2. Learned counsel for the State submits that the issue involved in the present case was pending adjudication in LPA No. 535 of 2017, hence, vide order dated 13.04.2018, the matter was directed to be listed after disposal of the aforesaid L.P.A.. The said L.P.A. No. 535 of 2017 has been dismissed vide order dated 17.04.2018 itself. The Operative portion of the order dated 17.04.2018, passed in L.P.A. No. 535/2017 reads as under :-

“15. After having heard the counsels for the appellants as well as the counsels representing the State and having extensively gone through the impugned order passed by the learned Single Judge, we do come to a conclusion that the reasons so provided by the learned Single Judge in his order, relevant paragraphs of which has also been reproduced in the earlier part of order to avoid repetitions of facts, as well as considerations of law, we do come to a considered opinion that the appellants have failed to make out a case for interfering with the impugned order and judgment dated 24.01.2017 passed in the batch of writ applications, the lead case being CWJC No.11550 of 2016.

All the appeals lack merit, therefore, stand dismissed.”

4. Since the Hon’ble Division Bench has decided the said issue against the petitioners hence, there is no merit in this case and it appears to this Court that due to this reason, the



petitioner is avoiding appearance. As such, the present petition stands dismissed.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U			
---	--	--	--

