

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10614 of 2024

-
1. Neeraj Kumar S/O- Kumar Bharat Bhushan @ Kumar Bharat Bhushan Yati R/O- At and P.O. and P.S. - Mairwa, Dist. - Siwan (Bihar) Pin. - 841239.
 2. Kumari Ruby Sharma, D/O - Ravindra Sharma, R/O - Village. - Bhulani, Post. - Simariaya, P.S. - Nautan, Dist. - Siwan (Bihar), Pin. -841243.
 3. Ashok Kumar Arya, S/O - Arya Ram, R/O- Vill. - Suryapura, Post. - Kishanpura, P. S. - Basantpur, Dist. - Siwan (Bihar), Pin. - 841416.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Director, Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS), Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. District Magistrate cum Chairman, District Selection Board, Siwan, Bihar.
4. Dy. Development Commissioner (D.D.C.) cum nominated Chairman, District Selection Board, Siwan, Bihar.
5. District Programme officer cum Member Secretary, District Selection Board. Siwan, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Government Pleader (14)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 16-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed in which Annexure-2, i.e., Public Notice dated 20.01.2020, has been challenged.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said public notice has not been issued in accordance with law. He also submits that in the light of the observation made in the



said public notice dated 20.01.2020, objection was filed before the District Programme Officer, I.C.D.S., Bihar, Patna, but no communication has been made to the petitioners in this regard till date.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, submits that the objection filed by the petitioner was duly entertained and copy has been served to the petitioners, which is annexed as Annexure-3, by which objection of total 17 candidates have taken care of. But the petitioners have intentionally attached the consideration of objection of only total ten candidates including petitioner No.3, and reason for non-consideration has also been assigned.

5. In this background, this Court directs the respondent to provide a copy of the said decision considering the objection afresh to the petitioners within thirty days.

6. With this direction, this writ petition is disposed off.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Mkr./-

U			
---	--	--	--

