

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9111 of 2023

=====

M/s Shreyas Bharat Gas Agency through its Proprietor Smt. Veena Saroj,
Female, aged about 46 years, Wife of Sri Rajesh Kumar, resident of Village-
Kolahrampur, P.O. and P.S.-Barhara Pachrukhiya Kalan, District-Ara
(Bhojpur) ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Labour Commissioner, Patna, Bihar.
2. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Patna, Bihar.
3. The Labour Enforcement Officer, Punpun, Patna, District-Patna, Bihar.
4. The Labour Inspector, Punpun, District-Patna, Bihar.
5. Amrik Prasad son of Late Raghunandan Prasad Singh, Resident of Village-
Alawpur, P.O. and P.S.-Punpun, District-Patna. ... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Chandra Moleshwar, Adv.
For the Respondents	:	Mrs. Anuradha Singh, SC XXV with Ms Nutan Kumari Sharma, AC to SC XXV

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

8 09-05-2024 Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. A supplementary counter affidavit filed by
Respondent No. 5 and the written statement filed by the
petitioner has been annexed as Annexure R/1.

3. In the written statement the petitioner has made a
declaration as under :

*“6 : That there is relationship of employer &
employee in between the O.P. and alleged employees
of the alleged date, time & places of working. This
Opp.party has not violated the Minimum Wages Act.
So the present case is not come under the purview of
M.W.Act and on the basis it is fit case for the
dismissal.”*



4. Having regard to the same the Court does not find any merit in the Writ Petition, which warrants any interference by this Court.

5. The Writ Petition is accordingly **dismissed**.

(A. Abhishek Reddy , J)

Shamshad/-

U			
---	--	--	--

