

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5824 of 2018

=====

Ramashis Mahto, Son of Late Kapileshwar Mahto, resident of Village-
Maujampur, P.S.- Bahera, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Joint Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna. null null
5. The Engineer-in- Chief-cum- Additional Commissioner-cum- Special Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna
6. The Chief Engineer Mechanical North Bihar Upbhadg, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna. null null
7. The Superintending Engineer, Road Construction Department, Road Circle, Darbhanga.
8. The Technical Advisor, Road Construction Department, Road Circle, Darbhanga.
9. The Executive Engineer-Cum- Initiating Officer of Department, Road Sub-Division Rosera, Samastipur
10. The Additional Director, General Vigilance, Investigation Bureau- 6 Sacular Road, Bihar, Patna.
11. The Deputy Secretary Vigilance, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
12. The Prastutikaran Officer-Cum- Prakkalan Officer, Road Sub- Division, Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjan Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

4 12-02-2024 Learned counsel for the petitioner present, however

no one appears on behalf of State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

Annexure-8 is under challenge in which punishment of one year



increment has been passed against the petitioner. Counsel further submits that this order of punishment is minor in nature.

3. From the perusal of Annexure-8, it transpires that the date of order of punishment has been notified *vide* Memo No. 1472 dated 28.12.2015. But the present writ petition has been challenged in the year 2018 and one year has already been crossed.

4. In this view of the matter, the case is infructuous, but, counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this writ petition.

5. Permission granted.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition is hereby dismissed as withdrawn.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Divyansh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

