

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8936 of 2018

1. Sikandar Kumar Singh @ Sikandar Singh and Ors son of Late Jagdish Prasad Mahto @ Late Jagdish Singh, resident of Village- Ramasi, P.S.- Sanhaula, District- Bhagalpur.
2. Sheela Kumari, w/o Pravash Chandra Singh, resident of Village- Sanhaula, P.S.- Sanhaula, District- Bhagalpur.
3. Arun Kumar Sah, son of Shiv Prasad Shah, resident of Village- Sanhaula, P.S.- Sanhaula, District- Bhagalpur.
4. Pankaj Kumar Jha, son of Sudhakar Jha, resident of Village- Kumaitha, P.S.- Sultangunj, District- Bhagalpur.
5. Suman Kumar Jha, son of Sudhakar Jha, resident of Village- Kumaitha, P.S.- Sultangunj, District- Bhagalpur.
6. Jharna Devi, w/o Anandi Prasad Yadav, resident of Ward No. 7, near Gramin Bank, P.S. Sanhaula, District- Bhagalpur.
7. Anuj Kumar, son of Jamun Shah, resident of Sanhaula, near Shiv Mandir, P.S.- Sanhaula, District- Bhagalpur.
8. Rajesh Kumar, son of Late Shyam Sundar Singh, resident of Village- Ghanshyamchak, Ward No. 8, P.S.- Sanhaula, District- Bhagalpur.
9. Punam Kumari, w/o Rajesh Kumar Chaurasiya, resident of Village- Ramasi, P.S.- Sanhaula, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Human Resources and Development Department, Government of Bi
3. The District Mass Education, Bhagalpur.
4. The District Magistrat, Bhagalpur.
5. The District Education Officer, Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Swapnil Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Kameshwar Kumar -Gp17

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

4 16-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly submits



that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous on account of judgment dated 05.07.2023 rendered by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in Civil Review No.59 of 2018 ***(The State of Bihar & Ors. Vs. Harendra Mishra & Ors.)***. The operative portion of the said judgment is extracted as under:-

“As has been discussed above, as the writ petitioners had admittedly not approached this Court or the Supreme Court on or before 26.02.2016, no relief could have been granted to them. The writ petition filed by them, i.e., CWJC No. 384 of 2017, deserved to be dismissed. Accordingly, we set aside the order dated 03.03.2017 passed in CWJC No. 384 of 2017.”

3. In the aforesaid background, he prays that the present writ petition may be disposed off.

4. In this view of the matter, the present writ petition is hereby disposed off.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ayush/-

U			
---	--	--	--

