

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41487 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-24 Year-2024 Thana- PANAPUR District- Saran

1. Kanhaiya Rai Son of Janardan Rai Resident of Village - Dhenuki, P.O.- Dhenuki, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran.
2. Surendra Rai Son of late Daya Rai Resident of Village - Dhenuki, P.O.- Dhenuki, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran.
3. Alok Kumar @ Alok Rai Son of Kanhaiya Rai Resident of Village - Dhenuki, P.O.- Dhenuki, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran.
4. Sunil Kumar Yadav @ Sunil Rai Son of Birendra Rai Resident of Village - Dhenuki, P.O.- Dhenuki, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran.
5. Munna Rai Son of Surendra Rai Resident of Village - Dhenuki, P.O.- Dhenuki, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran.

... Petitioner/s

## Versus

## The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jeetendra Narayan, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, APP  
For the informant : Mr. Raja Ram Rai , Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

05-08-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners,  
Opposite party No. 2 and the State .

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 341 , 323 , 324, 307, 379, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code .

3. As per the prosecution case , on the alleged date and time of occurrence , all the twelve F.I.R., named accused persons including these petitioners alongwith ten unknown persons armed with various weapons entered into the house of



the informant and assaulted informant, her mother-in-law and her *devar* due to which they all sustained injuries.

4. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that due to petty dispute a simple occurrence of *maarpeet* took place between both the parties in which both sides sustained injuries. Case and counter case . Allegation of assault is general and omnibus against these petitioners. Injury allegedly caused by these petitioners are simple in nature .

5 . Learned counsel for the State as well as informant opposed the bail petition and submitted that petitioner Nos. 2 and 5 have got six criminal antecedents of similar nature .

6. Considering the fact that petitioner Nos. 2 and 5 have got six criminal antecedents of similar nature , their prayer for pre – arrest bail is rejected.

7. Considering the general and omnibus allegation of assault against petitioner Nos. 1, 3 and 4 and other circumstances of the case, in the event of arrest or surrender within six weeks from today, let the petitioner Nos. 1 , 3 and 4 , as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate – VIth, Saran at Chapra in connection with



Panapur P.S. Case No. 24 of 2024 , subject to the conditions laid  
down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure .

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

Koushik/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

