

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37519 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-209 Year-2022 Thana- ROH District- Nawada

Govind Yadav SON OF CHHOTELAL YADAV R/O- VILL- KAJICHAK,
P.S- ROH, DIST- NAWADA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Birendra Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jharkhandi Upadhyay

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-07-2024 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in the present
case registered for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 324,
307, 354, 379, 504, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was
rejected vide order dated 31.01.2024.

4. The following order was passed on 31.01.2024
by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 3403 of 2024:-

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks bail in
connection with Roh P.S. Case No. 209 of 2022
registered for the offence under Sections 341,
323, 324, 307, 354, 379, 504, 34 of the Indian
Penal Code.*

3. As per the prosecution case, the



petitioner has assaulted the victim on his head several times and the victim was admitted to Pawapuri Medical College from where he was referred to P.M.C.H., Patna.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in this case and he petitioner is in jail since 10.10.2023.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and the allegations levelled against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner

7. Accordingly, this application is dismissed for the present.

8. The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial of the petitioner. If there is no sufficient progress in the trial of the petitioner then the petitioner may renew his prayer for grant of bail.”

5. I see no reason to review my earlier order.

Accordingly, this application for regular bail is rejected.

6. The Investigating Agency is directed to produce the injury report in the court below.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

