

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41458 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-344 Year-2022 Thana- BUXAR COMPLAINT CASE District-
Buxar

=====

Ravi Kumar Son of Sudesh Choudhary R/O Village- Balirampur, P.S.
Karagahar, Dist.- Rohtas at Sasaram

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ranjita Kumari, D/O Suresh Choudhary, R/O Village- Kalyanpur, P.S. Itarhi,
Dist.- Buxar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bachan Ojha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP
For the Complainant	:	Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Kumar Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 21-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the complainant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Complaint Case No. 344-C of 2022 instituted under
Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of the D.P.
Act.

3. As per the complaint case, the marriage of the
complainant with the petitioner was solemnized on 01.06.2020
and after two months of the marriage, the petitioner started
torturing the complainant for the fulfillment of dowry demand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this



case. He further submits that the petitioner is always ready to keep the complainant but the complainant herself did not ready to live with the petitioner. Even the mediation between the parties failed. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner never demanded dowry nor tortured the complainant, however, with the ulterior motive, this case has been filed. He further submits that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he undertakes to co-operate in the trial.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner used to torture the complainant and even the maintenance has not been providing by him to her and she still wants to live with the petitioner.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Buxar / Concerned Trial Court in connection with Complaint Case No. 344-C of 2022, subject to the



conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

8. If both the parties want to reconcile, the learned Trial Court may take steps for further mediation/conciliation between the parties.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

ashishkr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

