

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8690 of 2024

=====

Shubham Kumar S/o Sarveshwar Kumar R/o Vill Mauna, P.O. Taraon,
District Rohtas, Bihar 821310.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The National Institute of Technology Patna through the Director Ashok Rajpath, Mahendru, Patna 800005 (Bihar).
2. Secretary to Visitor NIT Patna, President Secretariat President's Secretariat, Rashtrapati Bhavan, New Delhi - 110004.
3. Department of Higher Education, MoE, through the Secretary 127-C, Shashtri Bhawan, New Delhi, Delhi- 110001.
4. Dharmendra Pradha, Chairman, Council of NITs Office to Education Minister, 302-C, Shashtri Bhawan, New Delhi.
5. Suresh Kant Verma, the then Deputy Director NIT Patna Vice-Chancellor Office, Bihar Engineering University, Patna 800001.
6. Rabindra Kumar, the then Head Of Department ECE, NIT Patna NIT Patna Campus, Ashok Rajpath, Mahendru, Patna 800005.
7. Vishwanath, Former PhD Scholar, NIT Patna S/o Rabindra Kumar, NIT Patna Campus, Mahendru, Patna 800005

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Shubham Kumar (In Person)
For the UOI	:	Mr.Anwar Karim, CGC
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Y.V. Giri, Sr. Adv. Mr.S.K. Giri, Adv

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“(i) For seeking the issuance of the appropriate writ/order/direction, such as a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ/order/direction, for a court of inquiry into the Recruitment Scam in NIT Patna.
(ii) For the issuance of an appropriate order to validate the eligibility of Vishwanath in this



advertisement based on the date of birth certificate, such as Digilocker.

(iii) For the issuance of an appropriate direction to ensure that the respondents refrain from any unfair practices in upcoming vacancies or recruitment.

(iv) For the issuance of an appropriate direction regarding disciplinary action against officials involved in such recruitment scams.”

2. At the outset, the petitioner, appearing in person, seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

