

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39254 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-97 Year-2024 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

Sanjay Kumar son of Mundrika Paswan, Resident of village- Punpun Pakri,
PO + PS- Punpun, Dist- Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ramakant Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 26-10-2024 Heard Mr. Ramakant Singh, the learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, the learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Jehanabad PS Case No. 97 of 2024, FIR dated
03.02.2024, registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 379, 465, 467, 471 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. According to the prosecution case, during the
course of inspection of dispatch register, it was found that
certain letters were neither sent to the concerned department /
addressee nor returned to the office and during the course of
inquiry, it transpired that petitioner and co-accused CICL (S)
have committed disobedience of the order of JJB, Jehanabad /



Arwal. It is further alleged that on inspection of dispatch register, a copy of warrant bearing signature of I/c Principal Magistrate-cum-SDJM, Jehanabad has also been found and on enquiry with respect to the said warrant, the concerned Bench clerk has not given any satisfactory reply and when the matter was inquired with the Principal Magistrate, Jehanabad, she replied that the Bench clerk has got prepared the said warrant under her forged signature.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner is a 4th grade employee of the Juvenile Justice Board, Jehanabad and the allegation levelled in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He lastly submits that from perusal of the FIR, it appears that petitioner has no role at all in the present occurrence.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on the other hand on the basis of materials available on record and case diary has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that when the matter was enquired, then it has come to notice that the petitioner along



with other co-accused persons got prepared the said warrant under the forged signature of Smt. Aditi Kumari, the present SDJM, apart from that, the petitioner has not submitted the requisite letter before the authority concerned.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am **not** inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Jehanabad PS Case No. 97 of 2024, pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

