

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36683 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4 Year-2018 Thana- E.C.I.R (GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL)
District- Patna

=====

AMRENDRA KUMAR YADAV S/O LATE AYODHYA GOPE R/O
MOHALLA MISHRA TOLA, BADI KHANJARPUR, P.S- BHAGALPUR,
DISTT.- BHAGALPUR, BIHAR.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE UNION OF INDIA THROUGH THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR,
PATNA ZONAL OFFICE, ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE BANK
ROAD, PATNA.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. S.D.Sanjay, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Lokesh Kumar
Mrs. Sushmita Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Krishna Nandan Singh (A.S.G)
Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh (E.D.)
Mr.Ankit Kr. Singh
Mr.Sanjiv Kumar
Mr.Ankita Kumari

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

CAV ORDER

3 27-06-2024 I have already heard the learned counsel for the
petitioner as well as the learned counsel for the Union of India.

2. The bail application of the petitioner has already
been rejected by a detailed order of a coordinate Bench of this
Court dated 21.06.2023 passed in Cr.Misc. No.41700 of 2022.

3. The petitioner is alleged to be one of the named
accused against whom several FIRs and charge-sheets have
been filed relating to infamous Srijan scam. He was an Assistant
Nazir in the Nazarat Section in the office of the District
Collector, Bhagalpur. He along with his wife, namely, Puja
Kumari, had acquired huge immovable properties valued at
crores of rupees. He had put up a proposal to open a new bank



account in the name of District Magistrate, Bhagalpur with the Indian Bank at Bhagalpur wherein several crores of rupees were transferred from the account of the District Magistrate maintained with the Oriental Bank of Commerce. More than Rs. 21 crores were thereafter diverted from the bank account of the District Magistrate to the account of *SMVSSL*. The cheque was filled up in the handwriting of the petitioner.

4. After rejection of his bail application, the petitioner approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court and his special leave to appeal (Cr.) No. 11903 of 2023 has also been dismissed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court, vide order dated 21.06.2023.

5. The period of incarceration, as submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner, in my view, is not a fresh ground for re-consideration of the order dated 21.06.2023, passed by the coordinate Bench of this Court.

6. Accordingly, the prayer for bail on behalf of the petitioner is rejected.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

