

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38119 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-141 Year-2023 Thana- PIPRAHI District- Sheohar

=====

Sanni Singh, Son of Late Birendra Singh @ Ravindra Singh @ Chunnu Singh
@ Ravindra Kumar Singh Resident of Village - Budhkara, P.S.- Katra,
District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shantanu Kumar, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 02-08-2024 Heard Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Shantanu Kumar,

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with

Piprahi P.S.Case 141 of 2023 for the offences punishable under

Sections 395 & 397 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of

the Arms Act.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, which is

against unknown, the accused persons had committed *dacoity*

and looted Rs. 26,03,000/- from the Bank of Baroda, Ambakala

Branch.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely



been implicated in the present case. Name of the petitioner has come in course of investigation merely on suspicion. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner nor he has been put on T.I.P. Co-accused Aman Singh @ Raja has been granted pre-arrest bail in Cr. Misc. No.84152 of 2023 and co-accused Nanhku Sah has been granted regular bail in Cr. Misc. No.12377 of 2024, against whom similar allegation has been made.

5. Learned APP has opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the petitioner, as well as, the nature of allegation made against the petitioner and the fact that name of the petitioner has surfaced merely on suspicion and the petitioner is in custody since 30.11.2023, I am of the opinion that petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M. 1st Class, Sheohar in connection with Piprahi P.S.Case No.141 of 2023, subject to the following conditions:-

- (1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property



- within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- (2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court concerned.
 - (3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.
 - (4) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offences, after his release on bail, the trial court shall take steps to cancel his bail bond.
 - (5) The court below is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para-3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically loose its force.

mantreshwar/-

(Purnendu Singh, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

