

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6478 of 2017

=====

Rubi Kumari Wife of Sri Nand Kumar Das R/o Village-Gohchak, Tola Tikar,
P.S. - Atri, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Director, Mass Education - cum- Joint Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, Mass Education, Bihar, Patna
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, District - Gaya
5. The District Education Officer, Gaya, District- Gaya
6. The District Programme Officer, Madhmik Sikha and Saksharta, Gaya
7. The Block Education Officer, Atri, Gaya, District- Gaya
8. The Incharge Headmaster, Mdidle School, Tikar Hindi, District- Gaya
9. Smt. Lalita Kumari, W/o Shyam Bihari Village- Dhariya Tola Faidan Nagar,
Dist.- Gaya
10. Smt. Sabita Kumari W/o Sri Dilip Kumar R/o Village-Tikar, P.S. - Atri,
District- Gaya
11. The District Public Redressal Officer, Gaya, Dist. - Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajiv Ranjan, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Smt.Shilpa Singh-GA12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 20-06-2024 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has filed the present writ application
for quashing the office order bearing Memo No. 168 dated
31.03.2017 issued under the signature of District Programme
Officer, (Madhyamik Shiksha & Saksharta), Gaya whereby
selection of the petitioner as *Tola Sewak* has been terminated.

3. The *Tola Sewak* is appointed under the scheme on



contract basis for one year. The post of *Tola Sewak* is not statutory and no recruitment rules are followed for their appointment.

4. A Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, in the similar matter of *Tola Sewak*, in **CWJC No. 18107 of 2016**, has held as follows:-

“The learned counsel for the respondents has raised a preliminary objection regarding maintainability of the present writ petition and has referred to a judgment dated 17.08.2015 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWJC No. 12390 of 2015 as well as to a judgment dated 02.02.2017 rendered by the learned Division Bench of this Court in L.P.A. No. 2185 of 2015 whereby and whereunder it has been held that since the selection or engagement or hiring of the Tola Sevak is not a permanent appointment under the State, which is required to be considered under Article 226 of the Constitution of India as well as since the post of Tola Sevak is under a scheme, the same does not create any statutory right in favour of the petitioner, hence writ petitions in such matters as that of Tola Sevaks are not maintainable. Having regard to the aforesaid judgments rendered by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court as also by the learned Division Bench of this Court, this Court is of the opinion that the present writ petition is not maintainable, hence the same is dismissed. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to take recourse to such other remedies as are available under the law.”

5. The order passed by the Co-ordinate Bench



presided over by Hon’ble Single Judge in the aforesaid writ application has been affirmed by a Division Bench of this Court, holding that the writ petition is not maintainable.

6. Taking into consideration the aforesaid judgment of this Court and the fact that Tola Sewak does not hold civil post as well as the same is not a statutory post, I also come to the conclusion that the writ application is not maintainable.

7. This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

8. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to take recourse to such other remedies as are available under the law.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

