

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42815 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-73 Year-2023 Thana- IMAMGANJ District- Gaya

Sandeep Paswan @ Sandeep Kumar Paswan Son of Devnandan Paswan
Resident of village- Simri, P.s.- Dumariya, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 10-09-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Rabindra Kumar.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Imamganj P.S. Case No. 73 of 2023 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 18, 20 and 22 of the NDPS
Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that accused, on seeing the police, fled leaving behind
the vehicle from which 50.5 kg of Doda was recovered,
accordingly the instant FIR was instituted under Sections 18, 20
and 22 of the NDPS Act.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was not apprehended from the spot, as such, nothing



was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the vehicle and he came to be implicated based on suspicion that he was driving the vehicle.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Rabindra Kumar, vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that no doubt the petitioner was not arrested from the place of occurrence, but then he came to be implicated based on the fact that his driving license was found in the vehicle which connects him with the offence for the present. It is further submitted that in the event if the petitioner is granted the privilege of anticipatory bail, he may abscond.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

