

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36957 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-378 Year-2019 Thana- LAXMIPUR District- Jamui

=====

Rajesh Manjhi Son of Kaleshwar Manjhi Resident of Village - Kharhuwa
Mushahari, Police Station - Khaira, District - Jamui.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dipak Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 23-08-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
for the offence punishable under sections 364/34 and 302 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 08.10.2019 at about
9:00 PM Bodha Manjhi (deceased) was returning to his home
from Gidhaur along with his brother and sister by tempo and
when they reached near Kalali bridge, Bodha Manjhi was
forcefully abducted by present petitioner along with his
associates and was taken away towards Bahiyar beneath the
bridge. The brother and sister of the deceased went to home and
informed about the same to the informant and thereafter Bodha
Manjhi was searched but all in vain. The nephew of informant



namely Roman Manjhi called co-accused Kaila Paswan, who informed that Bodha Manhi was murdered and thrown in Gidhaur Dam.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Informant is not eye witness of the occurrence. Petitioner and his family members have been implicated in this case on the ground that there was enmity between the petitioner's family and the deceased. Brother of petitioner had filed Complaint Case No. 883 of 2019 dated 20.6.2019 against the deceased and others. Petitioner claims clean antecedent. Charge sheet has already been filed. Petitioner is in custody since 25.8.2023. Several co-accused, with similar allegation, have already been allowed regular bail by this Court vide paragraphs 10 and 11 of the bail petition.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts of the case, prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is allowed. Let the petitioner, mentioned above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Additional Sessions Judge V, Jamui in



Laxmipur (Gidhor) Police Station Case No. 378 of 2019.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Shashi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

