

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8919 of 2022

=====

Renu Devi W/o Late Ramgati Rai Village- Basti Sarsikan, P.O.- Bishunpur
Tellor, P.S.- Kathara O.P., District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through District Magistrate, Vaishali.
2. The District Magistrate, Vaishali.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Vaishali.
4. The Circle Officer, Gorowl, Vaishali.
5. The District Account Officer, Vaishali.
6. The District Treasury Officer, Vaishali.
7. The Bill Clerk, District Treasury Office, Patna.
8. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
9. District Provident Fund Officer, Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Lakmesh Marvind, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 14-11-2024

Heard the parties.

2. The husband of the petitioner died in harness while working as *Chaukidar* under the office of Circle Officer, Goraul on 05.05.2021. Despite the sudden demise of the husband of the petitioner, when the petitioner (hapless widow of the erstwhile employee) has not been accorded the family pension and other death-cum-retiral benefits, she approached before this Court seeking a direction upon the respondents to ensure the payment of death-cum-retiral benefis, including the arrears of salary for the month of April, 2007 to March, 2017 in the revised pay



scale.

3. A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondent nos. 2, 3 and 4. Learned Advocate for the State referring to the averments made therein urged before this Court that all the admissible amount has been paid to the petitioner, the particulars of which have been duly mentioned in paragraph nos. 4 and 5, thereof.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner candidly submits that though the family pension and other death-cum-retiral benefits have been paid to the petitioner, but till date, so far the arrears of salary for the period aforementioned is concerned, the same has not been paid.

5. Considering the submissions advanced on behalf of the learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the fact that the substantive death-cum-retiral benefits have been paid to the petitioner, this Court deems it fit and proper to dispose off the writ petition with a liberty to the petitioner to approach before the respondent no. 2 by filing an appropriate representation, preferably within a period of four weeks, from today.

6. In case such a representation is filed, the concerned respondent shall verify the claim of the petitioner and in case it



finds favour, necessary consequential order shall be made,
within a further period of twelve weeks.

7. The writ petition stands disposed off with the
liberty aforesaid.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	18.11.2024
Transmission Date	NA

