

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8540 of 2024**

=====

Nayeem Khan son of Late Suleman Khan, resident of Village-Sultanpur,  
Police Station-Amarpur, District-Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Banka.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Banka.
4. The Circle Officer, Amarpur, Banka.
5. The S.H.O. Amarpur, Banka.
6. Peyara Mohammad Khan @ Bablu son of Late Noor Mohammad Khan, At present resident of Village-Sultanpur, Police Station-Amarpur, District-Banka.
7. Md. Firoz @ Dablu, son of Late Noor Mohammad Khan, At present resident of Village-Sultanpur, Police Station-Amarpur, District-Banka.
8. Most. Namia Khatoon @ Most. Namina Khatoon Wife of Late Usman Khan, resident of Village-Sultanpur, Police Station-Amarpur, District-Banka.
9. Md. Tabrej, son of Late Md. Rasid Khan, resident of Village-Sultanpur, Police Station-Amarpur, District-Banka.
10. Md. Saddam, son of Late Md. Rasid Khan, resident of Village-Sultanpur, Police Station-Amarpur, District-Banka.
11. Md. Javed, son of Late Md. Rasid Khan, resident of Village-Sultanpur, Police Station-Amarpur, District-Banka.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Md. Najmul Hodda, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha, G.A.-7

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      22-07-2024                      The present writ petition has been filed  
seeking the following relief:-

*“1. I. For issuance of writ of  
Mandamus or any other appropriate  
writ order or direction commanding  
the respondent authority to provide*



*police force for getting possession to the petitioner with respect to the land in question bearing Khata No. 372 (new) khesra No. 678 (new)/362 (old) measuring 0.05 dec. (residential land) of Mauza Sultanpur Anchal Amarpur, District- Banka, as the judgment and decree has already been passed in favour of the petitioner and respondent 3rd party by the competent court and the same has also been confined up to the stage of Title Appeal. Inspite of that the private respondent nos. 7 and 8 who are musclemen of the locality creating problem to the petitioner since long in getting actual possession of the aforesaid residential land.”*

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the District Magistrate, Banka, for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands disposed off.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

S.Sb/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

