

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35765 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-29 Year-2024 Thana- BAJPATTI District- Sitamarhi

=====

Raushan Kumar S/O Rahul Rai R/O VILLAGE- Patdaura, P.S. - Bajpatti,
Dist. Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

2 20-05-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered

for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 504 and

506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner dealt

a knife blow over the stomach of the informant's son as a result

of which the intestine came out. It is further alleged that all the

accused persons assaulted them with knife causing bleeding in-

juries to them.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in

this case and there is no specific allegation against the peti-

tioner and petitioner is in custody since 31.01.2024.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State, op-

poses the prayer for bail of the petitioner.



6. On perusal of the first information report and impugned order dated 22.04.2024, it appears that on the day of occurrence, when the son of the informant was going to his house, on the way, the accused persons including the petitioner intercepted him and began hurling abuses at him and when the informant's son protested against hurling of abuses, the accused persons including the petitioner assaulted him and there is specific allegation against this petitioner that he gave knife blows over the stomach of the informant's son causing bleeding injuries to him, as such, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

8. However, the learned trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of one year from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and if the trial is not concluded, then the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail before the trial Court.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Brajesh
Kumar/Vinayak

U		T	
---	--	---	--

