

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36411 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-28 Year-2024 Thana- MAHINDWARA District- Sitamarhi

Mintu Kumar S/O CHANDESHWAR SAH R/O VILLAGE SIRI KHINRIA,
P.S. - RUNNISAIDPUR, DISTRICT SITAMARHI

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

- 2 25-06-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar and Excise Amendment Act, 2018 in connection with Mahinwara P.S. Case No.28 of 2024.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of recovery of 34.5 liters of liquor from an auto.
4. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and he came to be implicated based on the fact that



he is owner of the seized auto. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use his own vehicle for committing an occurrence and thus would create evidence against himself and hence would get implicated. It is also submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that his driver would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged.

5. The learned APP for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.5000 /- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Excise Court-1, Sitamarhi in connection with Mahinwara P.S. Case No.28 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, in



that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Prakash Narayan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

