

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36365 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-201 Year-2023 Thana- JHAJHA District- Jamui

Sanjay Yadav S/O - Paro Yadav @ Premchandra Yadav R/O - Vill-
Dhavathiya, P.S- Jhajha, Dist - Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pankaj Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anant Kumar 1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in case registered for the
offence under Section 302 /120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, the daughter of informant
was married with this petitioner in the year 2011 and from the
wedlock, two children were born. It is alleged that on
18.02.2023, the informant received a telephonic call from
father-in-law of his daughter that his daughter is seriously ill
and as such, they are going to Bhagalpur for better treatment.
After receipt of such information, when the informant went to
in-laws house of his daughter, he saw the broken bangles of his
daughter as well as some blood-stains on the earth and
therefore, the informant came to a conclusion that his daughter



has been killed by this petitioner and his father and mother.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been made accused in this case merely because he happens to be husband of the deceased. There is no eye-witness to the occurrence. As a matter of fact, the deceased was mentally ill and her treatment was going on in Kanke, Ranchi and on the fateful day, the deceased consumed poison and as such, she was being taken to a Hospital in Bhagalpur, but on way to hospital, she died. He further submits that during course of investigation, it has come that at the time of occurrence, petitioner was at Gujarat. The *post-mortem* examination of the deceased was done on 19.02.2023, however; the present complaint was filed on 24.02.2023 after thought and only with a view to extract money, this false and concocted case has been lodged. Petitioner is in custody since 16.01.2024.

5. However, learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the bail petition and submits that petitioner is husband of deceased and there is specific allegation against him that he committed the murder of deceased. Deceased has died in an unnatural circumstances at her matrimonial home.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and same is,



accordingly, rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

