

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 736 of 2018

=====

Prem Lata Kumari wife of Dr. Narendra Kumar Verma, resident of Mohalla-
New Colony, Bhaluyahi Kharkura, P.S. Delha, District Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Secretary, Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Commissioner, Magadh Division.
5. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anujit Sinha, Advocate Mr. Sanjeet Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mrs. Kumari Amrita -GP-3 Mr. Rajesh Kumar, AC to GP-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 03-04-2024

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to implead District Provident Fund Officer, Gaya as respondent no. 6.

2. Prayer is allowed.

3. Learned counsel is permitted to implead District Provident Fund Officer, Gaya as respondent no. 6 during the course of the day.

4. The petitioner, who has retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.10.2016 while working as Lady Supervisor in the Department of Social



Welfare Department, has filed this writ petition for payment of post retirement benefits.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner, referring to the counter affidavit filed by the respondents, submitted that except the part payment of G.P.F. amount, all the retirement benefits of the petitioner have been paid by the respondent authorities. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that for remaining payment of G.P.F. amount, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 23.11.2022, to the newly added respondent no.6, namely the District Provident Officer, Gaya, and submits that this writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent no.6, the District Provident Fund Officer, Gaya to examine the representation of the petitioner dated 23.11.2022, submitted for payment of remaining G.P.F. amount.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, since all the retirement benefits of the petitioner have been paid by the respondent authorities, except the part G.P.F. amount, respondent no.6, the Provident Fund Officer, Gaya is directed to examine the representation of the petitioner dated 23.11.2022, submitted for payment of remaining G.P.F. amount, on its own merit within a period of two months from the date of



receipt/production of a copy of this order and if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to any further payment of G.P.F. amount, the same shall be paid within a period of four weeks thereafter.

7. With above observation, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(Nani Tagia, J)

Amrendra/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	03.04.2024
Transmission Date	N/A

