

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37032 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2024 Thana- MANJHI District- Saran

1. Sawaliya Bansphor Son of Bhunnu Bansphor Resident of Village - Bigha, P.S. - Manjhi, District - Saran.
2. Gunja Devi @ Gunja Kumari Daughter of Dilip Bansphor @ Dilip Kumar Basfor Resident of Village - Bigha, P.S. - Manjhi, District - Saran.
3. Dilip Bansphor @ Dilip Kumar Basfor Son of Bhunnu Bansphor Resident of Village - Bigha, P.S. - Manjhi, District - Saran.
4. Anil Bansphor Son of Sawaliya Bansphor Resident of Village - Bigha, P.S. - Manjhi, District - Saran.
5. Nagiya Devi @ Nagiya Wife of Sawaliya Bansphor Resident of Village - Bigha, P.S. - Manjhi, District - Saran.
6. Tara Devi Wife of Dilip Bansphor Resident of Village - Bigha, P.S. - Manjhi, District - Saran.
7. Galu Kumari @ Guriya Kumari @ Golu Kumari Daughter of Pataru Bansphor Resident of Village - Bigha, P.S. - Manjhi, District - Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 27-06-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Rabindra Kumar.
2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 341, 379, 324, 307, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner nos.



2, 5, 6 and 7 are women. It is further submitted that on account of dispute relating to passage, there were differences in between the family of the petitioners and the informant, as such, an altercation took place, but in order to give serious colour to the case it is alleged that when daughter in law of the informant had gone to attend nature's call, when the accused persons started abusing her and when she objected, the accused assaulted. It is further submitted that from perusal of the order impugned, it would manifest that the injuries found on the injured is simple in nature which amply demonstrates that petitioners never had any intention of committing a serious occurrence.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Manjhi P.S. Case No. 56 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down



under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

